

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,515	06/23/2003	Allan M. Strand	2003-1870.ORI	9751
75	90 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Mark J. Burns			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
Haugen Law Firm PLLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1130 TCF Tower 121 South Eighth Street			3711	
Minneapolis, M			D. 175 14.11 FD 04/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Commence	10/601,515	STRAND, ALLAN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen L. Blau	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	eptember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	(PTO-413)			

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 2 September 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. No PTO-1449 was found in the correspondence. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 recites the limitation "a second inflection point" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims in that a first inflection point has not been disclosed. It is uncertain whether there is one or two inflection points.

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eberle.

Eberle discloses a hollow tapered body (Fig. 2), an open end (Figs. 1,3), a closed end (Col. 3, Lns. 1-16), a front surface being planar (Col. 3, Lns. 52-60), side surfaces being radiused (Fig. 3), a width dimension to depth dimension of at least 1.1:1 (Figs. 2-3), a rear surface being substantially planar, a front and rear surface being substantially parallel to one another (Fig. 3, Col. 3, Lns. 52-60) and putter (Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eberle.

Art Unit: 3711

Eberle does not disclose how the grip tapers along the length in figure 2 but clearly an artisan skilled in the art of forming a tapered grip would have selected a suitable taper in which the width and depth taper proportionally to one another is included.

Eberle lacks at least an upper and intermediate portions having a width dimension to depth dimension of at least 1.1:1. It would have been obvious to modify the grip of Eberle to have at least an upper and intermediate portions having a width dimension to depth dimension of at least 1.1:1 in order to taper the grip proportionally in both width and depth along the length of a grip.

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eberle as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above, and further in view of Lewis.

Eberle lacks a width to depth ratio of a tapered body increasing from an inflection point at an intersection between a lower portion and an intermediate portion to a second end. Lewis discloses a flat grip for a club having a width to depth ratio of a tapered body increasing from an inflection point at an intersection between a lower portion and an intermediate portion to a second end (Fig. 3). In view of the patent of Lewis it would have been obvious to modify the tapered grip of Eberle to have a width to depth ratio of a tapered body increasing from an inflection point at an intersection between a lower portion and an intermediate portion to a second end in order to minimize the amount of grip material used at the lower portion.

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a width to depth ratio of an upper portion increasing between a first inflection point at an intersection between an upper portion and an intermediate portion, and a second end from about 1.1:1 to about 2.5:1 and a front surface being substantially planar in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 9-206414 discloses a flat grip for a putter.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Teresa Walberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-1327. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINE